UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/578,602 | 05/08/2006 | Steffen Hardt | FMW-CP-PCT-US | 6981 |
| | 7590 02/28/201 NK & FARINE, CO., I | EXAMINER | | |
| 2020 FRONT STREET | | | DIETERLE, JENNIFER M | |
| SUITE 307 CUYAHOGA FALLS, OH 44221 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1759 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/28/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/578,602 | HARDT, STEFFEN | |
| Examiner initiated interview caninary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jennifer Dieterle | 1759 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: Fina | <u>al</u> | |
| (1) <u>Jennifer Dieterle</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Daniel Hudak Jr.</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 February 2011</u> | Time: afternoon | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | | |
| Claims discussed: all | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the interview, since | ne substance |
| /Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1723 | | | |
| (A) | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ve Signature – if a | appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative contacted the examiner in December 6, 2010 to discuss if the examiner had any suggestions as to possible amendments that would be this application closer to allowance. On February 17, 2011 examiner faxed proposed claim amendments to applicant's representative as follows:

Claim 1 (Rewritten): A method for separation of chemical substances and/or particles utilizing a microfluid chamber having intake channels and consisting of a plurality of parallel arranged adjoining liquid lamellae of two or more different phases having a thickness in the submillimeter range or smaller, comprising the steps of:

o introducing liquid lamellae into said intake channels of said microfluid chamber, said microfluid chamber having a first electrode pair arranged thereon such that an electric field is generated parallel to the phase boundaries of the said liquid lamellae and a second electrode pair such that an electric field is generated perpendicular to the phase boundaries of said liquid lamellae; and

o flowing said liquid lamellae through said microfluid chamber while the electric field is applied to said first and second electrode pairs.

5-11, 21 and 22 (Cancelled).